

Agency Secretary

California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

2501 Lake Tahoe Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, California 96150 (530) 542-5400 • Fax (530) 544-2271 http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan

Arnold Schwarzenegger Governor

November 2, 2005

To Interested Parties:

SCOPING MEETING FOR PROPOSED BASIN PLAN AMENDMENTS TO CLARIFY WASTE DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS AND ADD NPDES COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE PROVISIONS

The Lahontan Regional Board expects to consider amendments to Chapters 4 and 5 of the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Lahontan Region* (Basin Plan) to add clarifying language to the waste discharge prohibitions and to add provisions for schedules of compliance in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. A more detailed summary of the proposed amendments is enclosed for your reference.

Public drafts of the Basin Plan amendments and supporting documents will be released in mid-December 2005 for a 45-day review period. A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for the Regional Board's March 8-9, 2006 meeting. The meeting location will be in the north Lahontan Region, but the exact location has not yet been determined. Supporting documents for the plan amendments will include a technical staff report and a draft California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental document. The Regional Board's planning program has been certified by the Secretary for Resources pursuant to Section 21080.5 of CEQA as being "functionally equivalent" to preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). This certification allows the Regional Board to prepare short environmental documents rather than detailed EIRs for Basin Plan amendments.

CEQA Section 21083.9 now requires scoping meetings for projects of statewide, regional or areawide significance. A scoping meeting for this project has been scheduled on <u>Tuesday</u> <u>December 6, 2005</u> from 1:00-3:00 p.m. in the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board conference room in South Lake Tahoe. The meeting will involve a staff presentation on the proposed amendments and an opportunity for questions and comments from the public. Please see the enclosed notice for additional information. CEQA trustee agencies and other interested parties may also submit written comments on the scope and content of the environmental document to the attention of Bud Amorfini via mail to the address above, via fax transmission to (530) 542-5470, or via email to <u>bamorfini@waterboards.ca.gov</u>. <u>Written comments must be received by December 7, 2005</u> in order to be considered in preparation of the public draft environmental document.

Copies of the public draft plan amendments and supporting documents will be made available on the Regional Board's Internet webpage at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan by the start of the public review period. The existing Basin Plan is also available online at the address above.

The Regional Board staff contact person for the proposed amendments is Bud Amorfini at the Board's South Lake Tahoe office. Please contact him at (530) 542-5463, or the email address above, if you have any questions about the proposed amendments or the Basin Plan amendment process.

Original Signed By Richard W. Booth

Richard W. Booth, Senior Engineering Geologist TMDL Unit Chief

Enclosures: 1) Notice of Public Scoping Meeting

- 2) Summary of Proposed Basin Plan Amendments Waste Discharge Prohibitions and NPDES Compliance Schedules
- 3) Basin Plan Amendments for Waste Discharge Prohibition Update and NPDES Compliance Schedule Provision

cc (w/enclosures): Joanna Jensen, Division of Water Quality, SWRCB

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD LAHONTAN REGION

2501 Lake Tahoe Boulevard South Lake Tahoe CA 96150 (530) 542-5400

NOTICE OF CEQA SCOPING MEETING

In the Matter of Proposed Amendments
To the Water Quality Control Plan for the Lahontan Region

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region (Regional Board) staff will hold a CEQA scoping meeting pursuant to California Public Resources Code section 21083.9, as amended by AB 1532 to receive comments on the appropriate scope and content of the environmental document to be prepared pursuant to Section 21080.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The proposed amendments would:

- Add editorial changes to clarify that waste discharge prohibitions do not apply to discharges of storm water when wastes in the discharge are controlled by appropriate management measures and do not cause a violation of water quality objectives.
- Add an explicit statement to allow schedules of compliance in National Pollutant Elimination Discharge System (NPDES) permits.

The **scoping meeting** will be held:

DATE: Tuesday, December 6, 2005

TIME: 1:00-3:00 P.M.

LOCATION: Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board Conference Room

2501 Lake Tahoe Boulevard South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Any person who is disabled and requires special accommodations to participate in the scoping meeting, please contact Laurie Applegate at (530) 542-5414 no later than 10 days before the scheduled meeting.

Tator train to day a solution trains controlled	
Original Signed By	Date:
RICHARD W. BOOTH TMDL Unit Chief	

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED BASIN PLAN AMENDMENTS AND DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST WASTE DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS AND NPDES COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES

Introduction

Chapters 4 and 5 of the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Lahontan Region* (Basin Plan) specify certain waste discharge prohibitions and include provisions for compliance schedules in discharge permits [waste discharge requirements (WDRs) and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits]. The Regional Board is planning to amend the Basin Plan text associated with these two items. The proposed Basin Plan amendments do not constitute a functional change in the Regional Board's regulatory approach, but are intended to clarify the applicability of the prohibitions and compliance schedule provisions. The proposed amendments are attached to this summary and discussed below.

Waste Discharge Prohibitions

Various waste discharge prohibitions are contained in the implementation sections of the Basin Plan, which are presented in Chapters 4 (Lahontan Region) and Chapter 5 (Lake Tahoe-specific). The proposed clarifications to the waste discharge prohibitions (see attachment) are intended to address the prohibitions identified below.

For the Little Truckee River, Truckee River, East and West Forks Carson River, East and West Forks Walker River, and Lake Tahoe Hydrologic Units (HUs):

"The discharge of any waste or deleterious material to surface waters of the [...HU] is prohibited."

For the Little Truckee River, Truckee River and Lake Tahoe HUs, additional prohibitions are included as follows:

"The discharge, attributable to human activities, of solid or liquid waste materials, including but not limited to soil, silt, clay, sand, or other organic or earthen material, to surface waters of the [...HU] is prohibited."

"The discharge or threatened discharge attributable to human activities, of solid or liquid waste materials including soil, silt, clay, sand, and other organic and earthen materials to lands within the 100-year floodplain of the [Little Truckee River and Truckee River] or any tributary to the [Little Truckee River and Truckee River] is prohibited."

"The discharge or threatened discharge attributable to human activities, of solid or liquid waste materials including soil, silt, clay, sand, and other organic and

earthen materials to lands below the highwater rim of Lake Tahoe or within the 100-year floodplain of any tributary to Lake Tahoe is prohibited."

If taken out of context, these prohibitions could be interpreted as prohibiting all storm water discharges, including those currently authorized under the Regional Board's regulatory authority. This is clearly not the intent of the Regional Board and the proposed amendments are needed to clarify that these prohibitions do not apply to storm water discharges that are adequately managed and meet water quality objectives. For example, construction site or municipal storm water discharges are authorized if regulated under WDRs or NPDES permits. These discharges are not prohibited and existing regulatory programs are in place to prevent potential water quality degradation from these legitimate activities. Therefore, the Basin Plan amendment would reconcile the prohibition language with the regulatory practices of the Regional Board.

NPDES Permit Compliance Schedules

In some cases, immediate compliance with effluent limitations in NPDES permits or WDRs may be infeasible. Both the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and the state Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act recognize compliance schedules as an important tool for bringing dischargers into compliance with water quality standards. Examples of situations where compliance schedules may be appropriate include:

- Setting new or revised effluent guidelines;
- Establishing new or revised water quality standards application;
- Developing and implementing Best Management Practices (BMP) plans; or
- Developing and implementing storm water pollution control programs.

This mechanism is currently authorized statewide for non-NPDES WDRs. For state-implemented NPDES programs, federal regulations implementing the CWA allow compliance schedules if two conditions are met (40 CFR §122.47): 1) the water quality standard was promulgated after July 1, 1977; and 2) the state water quality regulations allow for a compliance schedule to comply with the standards. The second condition in the federal regulation was interpreted in an USEPA Administrative Order (Star-Kist Caribe, Inc, - NPDES Appeals No. 88-5) as requiring an explicit statement in the state's water quality control plans (i.e., Basin Plans) that allows compliance schedules.

The Basin Plan refers to its authority to establish compliance schedules in discharge permits (WDRs and NPDES permits) in the region-wide Implementation Section (Chapter 4) and in the Lake Tahoe-specific section (Chapter 5). Although the Basin Plan currently authorizes the use of compliance schedules in NPDES permits, the proposed amendments are intended to more clearly satisfy the federal regulations as stated above, and explicitly state that compliance schedules are allowed when determined to be appropriate by the Regional Board. The proposed amendment is presented in the attachment to this summary.

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Basin Plan Amendments to Waste Discharge Prohibitions and Authority to Establish NPDES Compliance Schedules

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				X
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				X
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES- Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				Х
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				X
III. AIR QUALITY- Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				X
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				Х
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				X
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				X
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project: a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either				
directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				Х
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				х
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the				
project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				X
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				X
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				X
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the				
project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				X
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication				X
42.				***
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				X
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
iv) Landslides?				X
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				X
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS				
MATERIALS Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				X
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or				
the environment through reasonably				
foreseeable upset and accident conditions				X
involving the release of hazardous materials				
into the environment?				
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle				
hazardous or acutely hazardous materials,				X
substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of				Λ
an existing or proposed school?				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a				
list of hazardous materials sites compiled				
pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5				X
and, as a result, would it create a significant				
hazard to the public or the environment?				
e) For a project located within an airport land				
use plan or, where such a plan has not been				
adopted, within two miles of a public airport or				X
public use airport, would the project result in a				
safety hazard for people residing or working in				
the project area? f) For a project within the vicinity of a private				
airstrip, would the project result in a safety				
hazard for people residing or working in the				X
project area?				
g) Impair implementation of or physically				
interfere with an adopted emergency response				X
plan or emergency evacuation plan?				Λ
h) Expose people or structures to a significant				
risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland				
fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to				X
urbanized areas or where residences are				-
intermixed with wildlands?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER				
QUALITY Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste				37
discharge requirements?				X
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies				
or interfere substantially with groundwater				
recharge such that there would be a net deficit				
in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local				
groundwater table level (e.g., the production				X
rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to				
a level which would not support existing land				
uses or planned uses for which permits have				
been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage				
pattern of the site or area, including through the				
alteration of the course of a stream or river, in				X
a manner which would result in substantial				
erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage				
pattern of the site or area, including through the				
alteration of the course of a stream or river, or				X
substantially increase the rate or amount of				Λ
surface runoff in a manner which would result				
in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which				
would exceed the capacity of existing or				
planned stormwater drainage systems or				X
provide substantial additional sources of				
polluted runoff?				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water				X
quality?				21
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard				
area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard				X
Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or				11
other flood hazard delineation map?				
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area				_
structures which would impede or redirect flood				X
flows?				
i) Expose people or structures to a significant				
risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding,				X
including flooding as a result of the failure of a				
levee or dam?				•
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would		•		
the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				X
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan,				
policy, or regulation of an agency with				
jurisdiction over the project (including, but not				
limited to the general plan, specific plan, local				X
coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted				
for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an				
environmental effect?				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat				37
conservation plan or natural community				X
conservation plan?				
X. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the				
project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known				
mineral resource that would be of value to the				X
region and the residents of the state?				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-				
important mineral resource recovery site				X
delineated on a local general plan, specific plan				Λ
or other land use plan?				
XI. NOISE Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise				
levels in excess of standards established in the				X
local general plan or noise ordinance, or				
applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or				X
groundborne noise levels?				Λ
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient				
noise levels in the project vicinity above levels				X
existing without the project?				11
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase				
in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity				X
above levels existing without the project?				
e) For a project located within an airport land				
use plan or, where such a plan has not been				
adopted, within two miles of a public airport or				v
public use airport, would the project expose				X
people residing or working in the project area				
to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private				
airstrip, would the project expose people				X
residing or working in the project area to				

Potentially Significant Impact	excessive noise levels?				
Would the project: a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, ned for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC		Significant	Significant with Mitigation	Significant	
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? X Police protection? X Parks? AUV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial X physical decirioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Police protection? X X Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a)Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities with that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					37
infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? AX Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					X
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a)Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities what substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? X Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	,				
replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? X Police protection? X Schools? X Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					v
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? X Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					Λ
necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial addverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? X Police protection? X Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
housing elsewhere? XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? YA Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					X
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	VIII DUDI IC CEDVICEC				
adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? X Police protection? Y Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	AIII. PUBLIC SERVICES				
adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? X Police protection? Y Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	a) Would the project result in substantial				
governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	adverse physical impacts associated with the				
physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	provision of new or physically altered				
construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a)Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Police protection? X Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? X Police protection? X Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? X XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
public services: Fire protection? Fire protection? Police protection? X Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? X XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? X XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	*				v
Schools? Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
Parks? Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
Other public facilities? XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
a)Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	, 1 0				
physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					v
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					Λ
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	* *				
facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	occur of be accelerated:				
facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	b) Does the project include recreational				
expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	facilities or require the construction or				v
environment? XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					^
XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
	environment?				
	XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				
,, outer the project	Would the project:				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is				
substantial in relation to the existing traffic load				
and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a				v
substantial increase in either the number of				X
vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on				
roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively,				
a level of service standard established by the				X
county congestion management agency for				Λ
designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns,				
including either an increase in traffic levels or				X
a change in location that results in				24
substantial safety risks?				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a				
design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous				X
intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm				12
equipment)?				
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				X
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?				X
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or				
programs supporting alternative transportation				X
(e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				
XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE				
SYSTEMS Would the project				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of				
the applicable Regional Water Quality Control				X
Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new				
water or wastewater treatment facilities or				
expansion of existing facilities, the construction				X
of which could cause significant environmental				
effects?				
c)Require or result in the construction of new				
storm water drainage facilities or expansion of				X
existing facilities, the construction of which				_
could cause significant environmental effects?				
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to				
serve the project from existing entitlements and				X
resources, or are new or expanded entitlements				
needed?				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater				
treatment provider which serves or may serve				X
the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to				Λ
the provider's existing commitments?				
the provider a existing communicities:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient				
permitted capacity to accommodate the				X
project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes				V
and regulations related to solid waste?				X
XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF				
SIGNIFICANCE				
a) Does the project have the potential to				
degrade the quality of the environment,				
substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or				
wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife				
population to drop below self-sustaining levels,				
threaten to eliminate a plant or animal				X
community, reduce the number or restrict the				A
range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or				
eliminate important examples of the major				
periods of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that are				
individually limited, but cumulatively				
considerable? ("Cumulatively				
considerable" means that the incremental				X
effects of a project are considerable when				^
viewed in connection with the effects of past				
projects, the effects of other current projects,				
and the effects of probable future projects)?				
c) Does the project have environmental effects				
which will cause substantial adverse effects on				X
human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

BASIN PLAN AMENDMENTS FOR WASTE DISCHARGE PROHIBITION UPDATE AND NPDES COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE PROVISION

Waste Discharge Prohibitions

Insert the following immediately before the text "Regionwide Prohibitions" in section 4.1 (p. 4.1-1):

Waste discharge prohibitions in this chapter and Chapter 5 (Lake Tahoe Basin) do not apply to discharges of stormwater when wastes in the discharge are controlled through the application of management practices or other means and the discharge does not cause a violation of water quality objectives. For existing discharges, waste discharge requirements, including NPDES permits, may contain a time schedule for the application of control measures and compliance with water quality objectives.

Insert the following immediately before the text "Regionwide Prohibitions" in section 5.2 (p. 5.2-1):

Waste discharge prohibitions in this chapter do not apply to discharges of stormwater when wastes in the discharge are controlled through the application of management practices or other means and the discharge does not cause a violation of water quality objectives. For existing discharges, waste discharge requirements, including NPDES permits, may contain a time schedule for the application of control measures and compliance with water quality objectives.

Compliance Schedules

Chapter 4

Include the following revisions to the text of "Compliance Schedules" starting on page 4-3 of Chapter 4 – Implementation.

Compliance Schedules. The Porter-Cologne Act (CA Water Code § 13242[b]) requires a Basin Plan's program of implementation for achieving water quality objectives to include a "time schedule for the actions to be taken." Because of the lack of ambient water quality monitoring data for most of the water bodies of the Lahontan Region (see Chapter 7), it is not possible to state whether or not these waters are in achievement of all water quality objectives, or to set compliance schedules for achievement. The Regional Board periodically reviews available information on attainment of objectives and support of beneficial uses as part of the Water Quality Assessment (ongoing), Section 305(b) reporting (every two years), and Triennial Review (every three years) processes. These reviews may result in Basin Plan amendments and/or the issuance of new or revised discharge permits which will include specific compliance schedules for particular dischargers

or for all discharges affecting particular water bodies. The Regional Board is also required to prioritize impaired water bodies listed as "Water Quality Limited" under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act for the development of "Total Maximum Daily Loads" (TMDLs) of pollutants to be used in setting wasteload allocations for dischargers, in order to ensure attainment of standards.

Where the Regional Board determines it is infeasible to achieve immediate compliance with water quality objectives adopted by the Regional Board or State Board, with water quality criteria adopted by the USEPA, or with an effluent limitation based on these objectives or criteria, the Regional Board may establish in NPDES permits a schedule of compliance in accordance with federal NPDES regulations (40 CFR §122.47). This provision applies to water quality standards promulgated after July 1, 1977. The schedule of compliance shall include a time schedule for completing specific actions that demonstrate reasonable progress toward the attainment of the objectives or criteria and shall contain a final compliance date, based on the shortest practical time (determined by the Regional Board) required to achieve compliance.

The 1975 Basin Plans included recommendations that specific studies be carried out by specific dates on needs for community wastewater collection and treatment facilities in certain areas of the Lahontan Region. These plans also recommended that some communities construct specific facilities by given dates. Most of these schedules were not met. Because expected year-to-year changes in availability of and priorities for funding will ensure that long term schedules are unrealistic, this Basin Plan does not include such recommendations. Priorities are set on a short-term basis for studies through the State Board's use of the Clean Water Strategy ranking system in various grant programs, and for facilities construction through the State Board Division of Clean Water Programs needs assessment process for loans and grants. Once funding is allocated, completion schedules are set through the contract process.

Some of the water quality control programs for the Lahontan Region do have specific compliance deadlines, which are discussed later in this Basin Plan. For example, the control measures for the Lake Tahoe Basin which are discussed in Chapter 5 are to be implemented over a 20-year period (through 2007) to ensure attainment of objectives. Some of the waste discharge prohibitions discussed later in this Chapter also include specific compliance dates.

The Regional Board maintains discharge permits (WDRs and NPDES permits) for point sources, each of which includes its own compliance schedule. Waste discharge permits for construction projects generally require implementation of Best Management Practices during and immediately after construction; long-term maintenance of permanent BMPs is expected. Regional Board enforcement orders for specific problems also include compliance schedules.

Chapter 5

Revise the first paragraph under "Compliance Schedules" on page 5-5 as indicated below.

Compliance Schedules

Regionwide schedules for obtaining compliance with water quality objectives are discussed in Chapter 4 of this Basin Plan. <u>Implementation mechanisms include establishing compliance schedules in NPDES permits where the Regional Board determines it is infeasible to achieve immediate compliance with water quality objectives promulgated after July 1, 1977.</u>

The regional Water Quality Assessment database (described in Chapter 7) is revised periodically to reflect the current status of revised periodically to reflect the current status of compliance with objectives and the current degree of support of beneficial uses. The USEPA requires reporting every two years under Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act on whether a specific water body fully supports, partially supports, or does not support all designated beneficial uses. The Regional Board reviews the adequacy of all Basin Plan standards and control programs to protect water quality at least once every three years through the "Triennial Review" process, and sets priorities for further Basin Plan revisions accordingly (see Chapter 1).